	Case 1:23-cv-00780-JLT-BAM Doci	ument 41	Filed 01/31/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
7				
8	OMAR SEGURA,	Case	No. 1:23-cv-00780)-JLT-BAM (PC)
9	Plaintiff,		ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO MODIFY DISCOVERY AND SCHEDULING ORDER	
10	v.			
11	COELHO,	(ECF	No. 40)	
12	Defendant.	Disco	overy Deadline: Ap	ril 4, 2025
13				
14	Plaintiff Omar Segura ("Plaintiff") is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in			
15	forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on			
16	Plaintiff's first amended complaint against Defendant Coelho ("Defendant") for excessive force			
17	in violation of the Eighth Amendment.			
18	Pursuant to the Court's June 3, 2024 discovery and scheduling order, the deadline for the			
19	completion of all discovery, including filing all motions to compel discovery, is February 3, 2025.			
20	(ECF No. 34.)			
21	Currently before the Court is Defendant's motion to modify discovery and scheduling			
22	order, filed January 29, 2025. (ECF No. 40.) Defendant states that good cause exists for the			
23	request because Defendant has been actively and diligently litigating this case. Defendant has			
24	scheduled to take Plaintiff's deposition before the deadline for completing discovery and			
25	completed necessary investigations and research regarding the claims in this case. Upon			
26	investigating the claims and collecting relevant documents, defense counsel learned information			
27	regarding a former inmate witness whose testimony would be critical to the resolution of this			
28	matter. Defense counsel believes the witness may have information relevant to Plaintiff's			
	1			

Case 1:23-cv-00780-JLT-BAM Document 41 Filed 01/31/25 Page 2 of 2

underlying claims against Defendant and Plaintiff's claim for damages. Defense counsel has been unable to make contact with the witness and set a mutually agreeable date to conduct the witness's deposition. The instant request will allow the parties sufficient time to make contact with the witness and to schedule and conduct a deposition. Defense counsel contacted Plaintiff, who supports this request and stated that he will not oppose it. Defendant therefore requests that the Court extend the deadline to conduct discovery by 60 days, up to and including April 4, 2025. (*Id.*)

Plaintiff has not yet had an opportunity to file a response, but the Court finds a response is unnecessary. The motion is deemed submitted. Local Rule 230(1).

Having considered the request, the Court finds good cause to grant the requested modification of the scheduling order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Defendant is unable to meet the current deadline for taking the deposition of this witness, and in light of defense counsel's representation that Plaintiff will not oppose the request, the Court finds that Plaintiff will not be prejudiced by the extension granted here. No other deadlines are altered by this order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Defendant's motion to modify discovery and scheduling order, (ECF No. 40), is GRANTED;
- 2. The deadline for completion of all discovery, including filing all motions to compel discovery, is extended from February 3, 2025 to **April 4, 2025**; and
- 3. A request for an extension of a deadline set in this order must be filed on or before the expiration of the deadline in question and will only be granted on a showing of good cause.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 30, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE